### JEFFERSON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Dale Weis, Chair; Joann Larson; Steven Masche

THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT WILL MEET ON THURSDAY, July 11, 2024, AT 10:15 A.M. Members of the public may attend at the Jefferson County Courthouse, 311 S Center Ave, Jefferson, WI, Room C1021. THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT WILL LEAVE FOR SITE INSPECTIONS AT 10:30 A.M.

PETITIONERS OR THEIR REPRESENTATIVES MUST BE IN ATTENDANCE FOR THE PUBLIC HEARING AT 1:00 P.M. Petitioners and other members of the public may attend the meeting virtually by following these instructions if they choose not to attend in

Register in advance for this meeting:

https://us06web.zoom.us/j/88432018141?pwd=RjNkakh5YnROYzd5UDBOMXNEY05HUT09 Meeting ID 884 3201 8141

Passcode: Zoning

After registering, you will receive a confirmation email containing information about joining the meeting

### person:

1. Call to Order – 10:15 a.m. Room C1021 of the Jefferson County Courthouse

Meeting called to order @ 10:15 a.m. by Weis

2. Roll Call (Establish a Quorum)

Members present: Weis, Larson, Masche

Members absent: ---

Staff: Matt Zangl, Laurie Miller, Taylor Gray

3. Certification of Compliance with Open Meetings Law

Staff presented proof of publication.

4. Election of Officers

Larson made motion, seconded by Masche to elect Weis as chair. Larson made motion, seconded by Masche to close the nomination. Motion carried 3-0 on a voice vote to elect Weis as chair.

Masche made motion, seconded by Weis, motion carried 3-0 on a voice vote to elect Larson as vice-chair.

5. Approval of the Agenda

Larson made motion, seconded by Masche, motion carried 3-0 on a voice vote to approve.

6. Approval of May 9, 2024, Meeting Minutes

Larson made motion, seconded by Masche, motion carried 3-0 on a voice vote to approve.

### 7. Communications

Zangl noted there should be a formal letter to DNR from the Board to hear from them on every petition or on an individual basis. There was discussion. Zangl will send them a letter.

- 8. Public Comment None
- 9. Site Inspection Beginning at 10:30 a.m. and Leaving from Room C1021 of the Jefferson County Courthouse, 311 S Center Ave, Jefferson, WI
  - a. V1749-24 Ryan & Nicole Meyers, W9017 US Highway 18, Town of Oakland PIN 022-0613-0543-003
  - b. V1748-24 Erik & Sarah Hoffman, N435 Oxbow Bend, Town of Koshkonong PIN 016-0513-3412-017
  - c. V1747-24 John & Tina Condon, N2704 Willing Road, Town of Hebron PIN 010-0615-3114-007
- 10. Public Hearing Beginning at 1:00 p.m., Jefferson County Courthouse Room C1049

Meeting called to order @ 1:00 p.m. by Weis

Members present: Weis, Larson, Masche

Members absent: ---

Staff: Matt Zangl, Laurie Miller, Haley Nielsen, Taylor Gray

11. Explanation of Process by Committee Chair

The following was read into the record by Weis:

## NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING JEFFERSON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that the Jefferson County Zoning Board of Adjustment will conduct a public hearing at 1:00 p.m. on Thursday, July 11, 2024, at the Jefferson County

Courthouse Room C1021, Jefferson, Wisconsin. Matters to be heard are applications for variance from terms of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance and Floodplain Ordinance. An AREA VARIANCE is a modification to a dimensional, physical, locational requirement such as the setback, frontage, height, bulk, or density restriction for a structure that is granted by the board of adjustment. A USE VARIANCE is an authorization by the board of adjustment to allow the use of land for a purpose that is otherwise not allowed or is prohibited by the applicable zoning ordinance. No variance may be granted which would have the effect of allowing a use of land or property which would violate state laws or administrative rules. Subject to the above limitations, a petitioner for an AREA VARIANCE bears the burden of proving "unnecessary hardship," by demonstrating that 1) strict compliance with the zoning ordinance would unreasonably prevent the petitioner from using the property for a permitted purpose, or 2) would render conformity with the zoning ordinance unnecessarily burdensome. A petitioner for a USE VARIANCE bears the burden of proving that 3) strict compliance with the zoning ordinance would leave the property owner with no reasonable use of the property in the absence of a variance. Variances may be granted to allow the spirit of the ordinance to be observed, substantial justice to be accomplished and the public interest not violated. **PETITIONERS**, OR THEIR REPRESENTATIVES, SHALL BE PRESENT. There may be site inspections prior to public hearing which any interested parties may attend; discussion and possible action may occur after public hearing on the following:

<u>V1747-24 – John & Tina Condon</u>: Variance from Sec. 11.07(d) of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance to allow a reduced road setback for a proposed attached garage at **N2704** Willing Road in the Town of Hebron on PIN 010-0615-3114-007.

John Condon (N2704 Willing Road) presented his petition. He stated he wanted to construct an attached garage. The house is at a 45% angle so by attaching the garage, they will be too close to the centerline of the road and will need a variance.

Zangl asked the petitioner to explain the reasons why the Board should approve the variance. The petitioner stated because of the odd position of the house, if they built the garage, it would be too close to the centerline of Willing Road. They would be OK off Roach Lane. A variance was granted for the house to a previous owner from State Road 106.

Zangl asked for the location of the septic. The petitioner stated it was behind the house and the proposed garage, and the well is in the front of the house.

Weis asked the petitioner for the size of the garage. The petitioner stated it would be 42'x24' which is almost the exact size of the cement pad that is there now coming out 1' futher on the northwest corner of the property. Weis asked if he was going closer to the septic. The petitioner stated it would be further away.

There were no questions or comments in favor or opposition of the petition. There was a town response in the file approving the petition which was read into the record by Weis.

Staff report was given by Zangl. He stated the property is zoned R-2. The setback requirement for Willing Road is 85' to the centerline of the road and 50' from the ROW. He is proposing to be 80' from the centerline of the road and 47' from the ROW. On the north side of the property is Roach Lane which requires an 85' setback to the centerline of the road and 50' to the ROW. However, if it were considered a town road in a subdivision, the setbacks would be 63' to the centerline and 30' to the ROW, and he is proposing a centerline setback of 73' and 43' from the ROW. There was a previous variance approved to place the house on the property at reduced setbacks.

Weis asked if this garage had any encroachment on the State Road 106 setback. Zangl stated no. Weis asked if the proposed 80' setback was from the foundation or the roofline. The petitioner stated it was from the foundation. Weis noted the measurement needed to be to the roofline.

Larson noted there was an email in file stating the Highway Department had no objections.

The petitioner explained why he felt he met the three criteria needed for variance approval which is also in the file.

<u>V1749-24 – Ryan & Nicole Meyers</u>: Variance from Sec.11.09(c) of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance to allow for an expansion greater than 50% of the footprint of a non-conforming structure. The site is located at **W9017 US Highway 18** on PIN 022-0613-0543-003.

Ryan Meyers (W9017 US Highway 18) presented his petition. He stated that he wanted to add onto the existing barn to keep his trailers in. This would be a cold storage area, and the additional storage would help keep the property neat and clean. It would also protect his personal property from the weather.

The addition would be 32'x40' and would aesthetically be the same as the existing with lights and electricity. There is limited access from US Highway 18 so they would be using the existing driveway. He explained the culverts and water issues. There is no other site to build another structure on the property, so he wants to add on.

Weis asked if there was a well or septic servicing the structure. The petitioner stated it was all located near the house. There was no septic or bathrooms serving the structure. Weis noted using the existing driveway for access would be the easiest.

There were no questions or comment in favor or opposition of the petition.

Masche asked if they would be excavating into the hillside. The petitioner stated there would be a knee wall and they will cut into the hill with another knee wall. Larson noted both lots are zoned R-2 and are separate. She asked if they could build another house there. They also have

horses in the R-2 which need permits. The petitioner stated because of the runoff of the two roads, it is very low and would be hard to build a house. They have no intentions of selling the lot. He did not think that because of how low it is that a house could be built without water issues.

Zangl noted it was a saleable parcel and the horses exist with a previous conditional use permit for horses. He asked the petitioner how many horses they had. The petitioner stated two.

Staff report was given by Zangl. He stated the road setback for US Highway 18 was 140'. However, in the 1990's, the DOT took some of the property for the ROW. As a result, this structure is now non-conforming. He asked the petitioner if he was proposing any bathrooms. The petitioner stated no.

There was a town response in the file signed May 22, 2024, approving the petition which was read into the record by Weis.

<u>V1748-24 – Erik & Sarah Hoffman</u>: Variance from Sec. 14.43(1)(a) of the Jefferson County Floodplain Ordinance to allow for less than 15' of fill around a structure in the floodplain using 9.9' instead of the required 15'. The site is located at **N435 Oxbow Bend** on PIN 016-0513-3412-017 in the Town of Koshkonong.

Erik Hoffman, N435 Oxbow Bend, presented his petition. He stated that he will be raising the house out of the floodplain by 5' with a foundation wall underneath. There is not 15' to the neighbor's lot to the south, and he is asking for 9.9' of fill around the house instead of the required 15'.

There were no questions or comments in favor or opposition of the petition.

Staff report was given by Zangl. He stated this variance is from the Floodplain Ordinance. The structure is in the floodplain which allows for 50% of the EAV for the lifetime of the structure. In order to remove the restrictions and be conforming, he needs to raise the first floor of structure by 2' and build an island above the floodplain by 1'. The fill island has to be 15' around the house. He has been working with an engineer and they should approve the fill except for the south lot line because there is not 15'. The engineer is proposing 9.9' which is short of the 15' requirement.

Zangl asked the petitioner if the driveway was in the 15'. The petitioner stated it was slightly downhill. Zangl noted there was some fill for the driveway which had a good pitch. The neighbor 1-2 houses to the south also got variance which was slightly different than what is being proposed but they do have a variance to allow their development.

This requirement was established by the federal government and handed down to the state, but the state could enact more restrictive regulations. This comes from the state. He further explained.

Weis asked if the house immediately to the south has been raised. The petitioner stated yes, their yard is higher. Weis asked it if was close to being at grade. The petitioner stated that he would be 1' higher than the neighbor. Larson asked about if there would be runoff problems for being lower. The petitioner stated no because there will be a retaining wall between the properties. Weis asked if there was an engineer involved. The petitioner stated he was trying to stay within the guidelines. He knows the neighbors well and will do what is best for both properties. Weis stated he felt engineer would have a professional opinion how to address the transition from one property to the other. Zangl stated he did not know if the water runoff was touched upon, but it would be something that could be addressed in the decision. They could divert the runoff away from the neighbors. Weis asked about the retaining wall that was being proposed. The petitioner stated he would follow whatever was recommended. He does not have any design specs yet. Weis asked the petitioner to address the grade difference. The petitioner stated he would be willing to do the retaining wall or grade to merge the properties. Weis noted he would respect whatever was recommended by the engineer. There was further discussion.

Zangl explained the Flood Storage District and the fill requirements.

Per Zangl, DNR was notified, but we got no response.

There was a town decision in the file signed June 12, 2024, approving the petition which was read into the record by Weis.

- 12. Discussion and Possible Action on Above Petitions (See also following pages & files)
- 13. Adjourn

Masch made motion, seconded by Larson, motion carried 3-0 on a voice vote to adjourn @ 2:22 p.m.

### JEFFERSON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

A quorum of any Jefferson County Committee, Board, Commission or other body, including the Jefferson County Board of Supervisors, may be present at this meeting. Individuals requiring special accommodations for attendance at the meeting should contact the County Administrator at 920-674-7101 at least 24 hours prior to the meeting so appropriate arrangements can be made. A digital recording of the meeting will be available in the Zoning Department upon request. Additional information on Zoning can be found at <a href="https://www.jeffersoncountywi.gov">www.jeffersoncountywi.gov</a>

# DECISION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT COPY JEFFERSON COUNTY, WISCONSIN



### FINDINGS OF FACT

PETITION NO.: HEARING DATE:	2024 V1747	
TIEARING DATE:	07-11-2024	
APPLICANT:	John & Tina Condon	
PROPERTY OWNER:	SAME	
PARCEL (PIN) #:	010-0615-3114-007	(N2704 Willing Road)
TOWNSHIP:	Town of Hebron	
INTENT OF PETITION	ER: Allow a reduce	ed road setback for a proposed attached garage.
THE APPLICANT REQU COUNTY ZONING ORD	JESTS A VARIANCE F. DINANCE.	ROM SECTION <u>11.07(d)</u> OF THE JEFFERSON
THE FEATURES OF TH	E PROPOSED CONST	RUCTION AND PROPERTY WHICH RELATE TO
THE GRANT OR DENIA	L OF THE VARIANCE	E APPLICATION ARE:
-Property is	zoned R-2 Residential/	/Unsewered (0.746 acres).
-Sec. 11.07(c	1) requires a minimum s	setback of 85 feet to the centerline of Willing Road, and
	he road right-of-way	arage to be placed 80 feet from the centerline of Willing
	47 feet from the right-of	
Atout, and	47 rect from the fight-of	i-way.
		ement of the home @ a reduced setback to STH 106
	ermit 8621 issued (1994 –	
	permit 55015 issued for soved with no conditions	
-Town appr	oved with no conditions	5 5/ 15/ 2024
FACTS OR OBSERVATIO	ONS BASED ON SITE I	INSPECTIONS: Site inspections ation.
FACTS PRESENTED AT	PUBLIC HEARING:	See tape, minutes & file.

# COPY

### **DECISION STANDARDS**

A.	NO VARIANCE MAY BE GRANTED WHICH WOULD HAVE THE EFFECT OF ALLOWING A USE OF LAND OR PROPERTY WHICH WOULD VIOLATE STATE LAWS OR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES:
В.	SUBJECT TO THE ABOVE LIMITATIONS, AREA VARIANCES MAY BE GRANTED WHERE STRICT COMPLIANCE WITH THE ZONING ORDINANCE RESULTS IN AN UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP WHICH WOULD UNREASONABLY PREVENT THE PETITIONER FROM USING THE PROPERTY FOR A PERMITTED PURPOSE, OR WOULD RENDER CONFORMITY WITH THE ZONING ORDINANCE UNNECESSARILY BURDENSOME, AND WILL ALLOW THE SPIRIT OF THE ORDINANCE TO BE OBSERVED, SUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE TO BE ACCOMPLISHED, AND THE PUBLIC INTEREST NOT VIOLATED.
С.	SUBJECT TO THE ABOVE LIMITATIONS, USE VARIANCES MAY BE GRANTED WHERE STRICT COMPLIANCE WITH THE ZONING ORDINANCE WOULD LEAVE THE PROPERTY OWNER WITH NO REASONABLE USE OF THE PROPERTY IN THE ABSENCE OF A VARIANCE AND WILL ALLOW THE SPIRIT OF THE ORDINANCE TO BE OBSERVED, SUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE TO BE ACCOMPLISHED, AND THE PUBLIC INTEREST NOT VIOLATED.
	BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT, THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:
1.	UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP IS PRESENT IN THAT A LITERAL ENFORCEMENT OF THE TERMS OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE WOULD UNREASONABLY PREVENT THE OWNER FROM USING THE PROPERTY FOR A PERMITTED PURPOSE OR WOULD RENDER CONFORMITY WITH SUCH RESTRICTIONS UNNECESSARILY BURDENSOME (AREA VARIANCE) OR STRICT COMPLIANCE WITH THE ZONING ORDINANCE WOULD LEAVE THE PROPERTY OWNER WITH NO REASONABLE USE OF THE PROPERTY (USE VARIANCE) BECAUSE Weis: Not having a garage would be a hardship. Larson: If the setbacks were not what they were, he would be able to build his garage. Masche: He does not have a garage now and he is entitled to one.
2.	THE HARDSHIP OR NO REASONABLE USE IS DUE TO UNIQUE PHYSICAL LIMITATIONS OF THE PROPERTY RATHER THAN THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE APPLICANT BECAUSE Weis: The hardship is created by the surrounding roads, existing structures, well and septic. Larson: It is bordered on three sides by roadways. Masche: There are three roads that border it and is limited by the placement of the well, septic and placement of the house.
3.	THE VARIANCE WILL NOT BE CONTRARY TO THE PUBLIC INTEREST AS EXPRESSED BY THE PURPOSE AND INTENT OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE BECAUSE Weis: It does not limit vision to any roadways and is in a typical subdivision setting on Roach Lane. Larson: It will not affect the safety and use of the road. Masche: It will not impede traffic site, will be better looking and will increase the value of his property.
	DIANCE MAN DE CRANTED IE ALL THESE CONDITIONS ARE MET*
	RIANCE MAY BE GRANTED IF ALL THESE CONDITIONS ARE MET*
DECI	SION: THE REQUESTED VARIANCE IS GRANTED.
MOTI	ON: Larson SECOND: Masche VOTE: 3-0 (roll call vote)
CONI	DITIONS OF APPROVAL/DENIAL:
SIGN	ED: Dale hors (2m) DATE: 07-11-2024  CHAIRPERSON

BOARD DECISIONS MAY BE APPEALED TO CIRCUIT COURT. AUDIO RECORD OF THESE PROCEEDINGS IS AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST.

# DECISION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT COPY JEFFERSON COUNTY, WISCONSIN

### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

PETITION NO.:	2024 V1749		
HEARING DATE:	07-11-2024		
APPLICANT:	Nicole Meyers		
PROPERTY OWNER:	Ryan & Nicole Meyers	S	
PARCEL (PIN) #:	022-0613-0543-003	(W9017 US	H 18)
TOWNSHIP:	Town of Oakland		
INTENT OF PETITION non-conforming str	ER: Allow for an expucture.	pansion of greate	r than 50% of the footprint of a
THE APPLICANT REQU	JESTS A VARIANCE FI DINANCE.	ROM SECTION	11.09(c) OF THE JEFFERSON
THE GRANT OR DENIA		<b>APPLICATION</b>	PROPERTY WHICH RELATE TO I ARE:
-Sec 11.09(c) does n	ot allow for additions to	nonconforming si	tructures to exceed 50% of the
existing structural	members.	8	
-Request to allow for members	r addition to existing no	n-conforming str	ucture exceeding 50% of structural
-Land Use permit #	26901 – for Indoor riding	arena	
-No sanitary permit			
-Town approved wit	th no conditions on May	22, 2024	
-Existing structure i	is legal non-conforming l	because it does n	ot meet road setbacks.
FACTS OR OBSERVATIO	ONS BASED ON SITE I		Site inspections
FACTS PRESENTED AT	PUBLIC HEARING:	See tape, mi	nutes & file.
		7.00	VSA TAILS IN IN

#### **DECISION STANDARDS**

	)PY
A.	NO VARIANCE MAY BE GRANTED WHICH WOULD HAVE THE EFFECT OF ALLOWING A USE OF LAND OR PROPERTY WHICH WOULD VIOLATE STATE LAWS OR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES:
В.	SUBJECT TO THE ABOVE LIMITATIONS, AREA VARIANCES MAY BE GRANTED WHERE STRICT COMPLIANCE WITH THE ZONING ORDINANCE RESULTS IN AN UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP WHICH WOULD UNREASONABLY PREVENT THE PETITIONER FROM USING THE PROPERTY FOR A PERMITTED PURPOSE, OR WOULD RENDER CONFORMITY WITH THE ZONING ORDINANCE UNNECESSARILY BURDENSOME, AND WILL ALLOW THE SPIRIT OF THE
	ORDINANCE TO BE OBSERVED, SUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE TO BE ACCOMPLISHED, AND THE PUBLIC INTEREST NOT VIOLATED.
С,	SUBJECT TO THE ABOVE LIMITATIONS, USE VARIANCES MAY BE GRANTED WHERE STRICT COMPLIANCE WITH THE ZONING ORDINANCE WOULD LEAVE THE PROPERTY OWNER WITH NO REASONABLE USE OF THE PROPERTY IN THE ABSENCE OF A VARIANCE AND WILL ALLOW THE SPIRIT OF THE ORDINANCE TO BE OBSERVED, SUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE TO BE ACCOMPLISHED, AND THE PUBLIC INTEREST NOT VIOLATED.
	BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT, THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:
1.	UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP IS PRESENT IN THAT A LITERAL ENFORCEMENT OF THE TERMS OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE WOULD UNREASONABLY PREVENT THE OWNER FROM USING THE PROPERTY FOR A PERMITTED PURPOSE OR WOULD RENDER CONFORMITY WITH SUCH RESTRICTIONS UNNECESSARILY BURDENSOME (AREA VARIANCE) OR STRICT COMPLIANCE WITH THE ZONING ORDINANCE WOULD LEAVE THE PROPERTY OWNER WITH NO REASONABLE USE OF THE PROPERTY (USE VARIANCE) BECAUSE Weis: The owner is entitled to
	the building addition. If not, it would be a hardship. Larson: It is because of the current setbacks to the roads. He is entitled to have storage for protection of his personal property. Masche: The owner is
	entitled to a building for storage. It will add to the aesthetics of the property.
2.	THE HARDSHIP OR NO REASONABLE USE IS DUE TO UNIQUE PHYSICAL LIMITATIONS OF THE PROPERTY RATHER THAN THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE APPLICANT BECAUSE Weis: The physical limitation to the property which is the slope, access and drainage create the hardship. Larson:  There is sloping on the roads on two sides of the property and lower lands to the south. Masche:  Limitations from the contours, slopes, drainage, and access point creates the hardship.
3.	THE VARIANCE WILL NOT BE CONTRARY TO THE PUBLIC INTEREST AS EXPRESSED BY THE PURPOSE AND INTENT OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE BECAUSE Weis: The improvement will not affect any travel on the surrounding roadways. Larson: There should not be any safety issues for the roadways. Masche: It will not be contrary to public interest because it will not limit any site distances or travel issues.
*A VA	RIANCE MAY BE GRANTED IF ALL THESE CONDITIONS ARE MET*
DECIS	SION: THE REQUESTED VARIANCE IS GRANTED.
MOTI	ON: Larson SECOND: Masche VOTE: 3-0 (roll call vote)
CONI	DITIONS OF APPROVAL/DENIAL:
SIGN	ED: DATE: 07-11-2024  CHAIRPERSON

BOARD DECISIONS MAY BE APPEALED TO CIRCUIT COURT. AUDIO RECORD OF THESE PROCEEDINGS IS AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST.

# DECISION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT JEFFERSON COUNTY, WISCONSIN

# FINDINGS OF FACT

PETITION NO.: HEARING DATE:	2024 V1748 07-11-2024	COPY
APPLICANT:	Erik Hoffman	
PROPERTY OWNER:	Erik & Sarah Hoffma	an
PARCEL (PIN) #:	016-0513-3412-017	(N435 Oxbow Bend)
TOWNSHIP:	Town of Koshkonong	
INTENT OF PETITION instead of 15'	ER: Allow less tha	n 15' of fill around a structure in the floodplain using 9.9'
THE FEATURES OF TH THE GRANT OR DENIA  -The structure/sing -Any work to the str -Approximat -Unless the structur -14.4.3(1)a re -The variance reque instead of 153 -See section 14.7.3 fe -Property line limits -Property owner into engineer app	E PROPOSED CONS L OF THE VARIANCE de family house is within ucture is limited to 50% ely \$130,000 EAV = \$65 e is changed to conform quires 15' fill around the est is to allow approxime or the BOA role and au ability to meet 15' requent to comply with all oroval and surveying records.	TRUCTION AND PROPERTY WHICH RELATE TO CE APPLICATION ARE: in the floodplain (floodstorage and flood fringe districts) of the equalized assessed value (remodeling, additions) 5,000 of work can be permitted in to section 14.4.3 of the floodplain ordinance e permitter of the house ately 9.9' of fill around the south side of the house, thority to grant variances irrement other sections of the floodplain ordinance, include
FACTS OR OBSERVATIO	ONS BASED ON SITE	
FACTS PRESENTED AT	PUBLIC HEARING:_	See tape, minutes & file.

### **DECISION STANDARDS**

<b>A</b> .	NO VARIANCE MAY BE GRANTED WHICH WOULD HAVE THE EFFECT OF ALLOWING A USE OF LAND OR PROPERTY WHICH WOULD VIOLATE STATE LAWS OR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES:
В.	SUBJECT TO THE ABOVE LIMITATIONS, AREA VARIANCES MAY BE GRANTED WHERE STRICT COMPLIANCE WITH THE ZONING ORDINANCE RESULTS IN AN UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP WHICH WOULD UNREASONABLY PREVENT THE PETITIONER FROM USING THE PROPERTY FOR A PERMITTED PURPOSE, OR WOULD RENDER CONFORMITY WITH THE ZONING ORDINANCE UNNECESSARILY BURDENSOME, AND WILL ALLOW THE SPIRIT OF THE ORDINANCE TO BE OBSERVED, SUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE TO BE ACCOMPLISHED, AND THE
	PUBLIC INTEREST NOT VIOLATED.
С.	SUBJECT TO THE ABOVE LIMITATIONS, USE VARIANCES MAY BE GRANTED WHERE STRICT COMPLIANCE WITH THE ZONING ORDINANCE WOULD LEAVE THE PROPERTY OWNER WITH NO REASONABLE USE OF THE PROPERTY IN THE ABSENCE OF A VARIANCE AND WILL ALLOW THE SPIRIT OF THE ORDINANCE TO BE OBSERVED, SUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE TO BE ACCOMPLISHED, AND THE PUBLIC INTEREST NOT VIOLATED.
	BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT, THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:
1.	UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP IS PRESENT IN THAT A LITERAL ENFORCEMENT OF THE TERMS OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE WOULD UNREASONABLY PREVENT THE OWNER FROM USING THE PROPERTY FOR A PERMITTED PURPOSE OR WOULD RENDER CONFORMITY WITH SUCH RESTRICTIONS UNNECESSARILY BURDENSOME (AREA VARIANCE) OR STRICT COMPLIANCE WITH THE ZONING ORDINANCE WOULD LEAVE THE PROPERTY OWNER WITH NO
	REASONABLE USE OF THE PROPERTY (USE VARIANCE) BECAUSE Weis: To remedy the effects of
	this structure's placement in the floodplain, the placement of fill is necessary. Larson: The owner could
	not finish raising the structure. Masche: He would need to raise the structure 2' above the floodplain, and he needs to meet the 15' fill requirement.
2.	THE HARDSHIP OR NO REASONABLE USE IS DUE TO UNIQUE PHYSICAL LIMITATIONS OF THE PROPERTY RATHER THAN THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE APPLICANT BECAUSE Weis: It is created by the unique location of this property and defined floodplain. Larson: The south lot line is at
	less than 15'. Masche: The structure is located too close to the south property line.
3.	THE VARIANCE WILL NOT BE CONTRARY TO THE PUBLIC INTEREST AS EXPRESSED BY THE PURPOSE AND INTENT OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE BECAUSE Weis: The variance limits the effect to the public by remodeling the structure. Larson: Granting the variance will allow the structure to comply with the fill requirements. Masche: It will not affect public safety or any public interest.
V-0-770773000000	
*A VA	RIANCE MAY BE GRANTED IF ALL THESE CONDITIONS ARE MET*
DECI	SION: THE REQUESTED VARIANCE IS GRANTED.
MOT	ON: Weis SECOND: Larson VOTE: 3-0 (roll call vote)
CONI neigh	DITIONS OF APPROVAL: The variance is approved for 9.9' of fill versus the 15' required. The transition to the bor to the south is to be reviewed by the engineer and their design approved by the Zoning Department.
SIGN	ED: Dale GHAIRPERSON DATE: 07-11-2024

BOARD DECISIONS MAY BE APPEALED TO CIRCUIT COURT. AUDIO RECORD OF THESE PROCEEDINGS IS AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST.

#### Additional FINDINGS OF FACT and DECISION

PETITION NO.:	2024 V1748
HEARING DATE:	07-11-2024
APPLICANT:	Erik Hoffman
PROPERTY OWNER:	Erik & Sarah Hoffman
PARCEL (PIN) #:	016-0513-3412-017 (N435 Oxbow Bend)

Section 14.7.3(4) of the Floodplain Ordinance:

### (4) VARIANCE

- (a) The Board may, upon appeal, grant a variance from the standards of this ordinance if an applicant convincingly demonstrates that:
  - 1. Literal enforcement of the ordinance will cause unnecessary hardship;

**BOA Response:** See Section C above.

2. The hardship is due to adoption of the floodplain ordinance and unique property conditions, not common to adjacent lots or premises. In such case the ordinance or map must be amended;

**BOA Response:** See Section C above.

3. The variance is not contrary to the public interest;

**BOA Response:** See Section C above.

4. The variance is consistent with the purpose of this ordinance in s. 14.1.3.

BOA Response: The 9.9' of fill is going to accomplish the same as the 15' fill. The 9.9' of fill implements the purpose of the Ordinance.

- (b) In addition to the criteria in subd. (a), to qualify for a variance under FEMA regulations, the following criteria must be met:
  - 1. The variance shall not cause any increase in the regional flood elevation;

BOA Response: The applicant is working with an engineer & will provide approval before the zoning permit is issued.

2. Variances can only be granted for lots that are less than one-half acre and are contiguous to existing structures constructed below the RFE; and

BOA Response: This is true about this lot and variance request and the property is similar to other properties in the area.

3. Variances shall only be granted upon a showing of good and sufficient cause, shall be the minimum relief necessary, shall not cause increased risks to public safety or nuisances, shall not increase costs for rescue and relief efforts and shall not be contrary to the purpose of the ordinance.

BOA Response: This variance request is the minimum relief necessary and provides the required fill up to the property line with room for a retaining wall.

(c) A variance shall not:

1. Grant, extend or increase any use prohibited in the zoning district;

**BOA** Response: The use is already existing and is not prohibited.

2. Be granted for a hardship based solely on an economic gain or loss;

**BOA** Response: The variance is not granted on economic gain or loss.

3. Be granted for a hardship which is self-created.

**BOA** Response: This is not self-created – this is similar to other properties.

4. Damage the rights or property values of other persons in the area;

BOA Response: Does not damage the property values or people.

5. Allow actions without the amendments to this ordinance or map(s) required in s. 14.8.0 Amendments;

BOA Response: This variance does not require an amendment.

6. Allow any alteration of an historic structure, including its use, which would preclude its continued designation as an historic structure.

**BOA Response:** The structure is not historic.

(d) When a floodplain variance is granted the Board shall notify the applicant in writing that it may increase risks to life and property and flood insurance premiums could increase up to \$25.00 per \$100.00 of coverage. A copy shall be maintained with the variance record.

BOA Response: It is incorporated into the decision and this decision will be sent to the petitioner for their record.